THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION No.20031 of 1996

Date: 05.07.2006

Between:

Dr. H.K. Sehgal

… PETITIONER

AND

Rural Electrification Corporation Ltd.,
Rep., by its Chairman, New Delhi
& others.

… RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

It is represented by Sri S. Satyanarayana Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had already taken the bundle.

On verification, it is seen that no fresh appearance has been filed on behalf of the petitioner. When the petitioner, Dr. H.K. Sehgal, is called, none appears nor there is any representation on his behalf.

Sri Pusham Kiran, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the 3rd respondent submits that the very same petitioner has already approached Delhi High Court for the self-same relief by way of a writ petition, which was dismissed and the said orders were also confirmed by the Apex Court as per the orders in SLP.No.3494 of 1996 dated 15.07.1996.

In view of the same, the writ petition is not maintainable and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

B. PRAKASH RAO, J

Date: 05.07.2006
ES